

COUNTING MAXIMAL ARITHMETIC SUBGROUPS

MIKHAIL BELOLIPETSKY

WITH AN APPENDIX BY JORDAN ELLENBERG AND AKSHAY VENKATESH

ABSTRACT. We study the growth rate of the number of maximal arithmetic subgroups of bounded covolumes in a semi-simple Lie group using an extension of the method due to Borel and Prasad.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical theorem of Wang [W] states that a simple Lie group not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ contains only finitely many conjugacy classes of discrete subgroups of bounded covolumes. This theorem describes the distribution of lattices in the higher rank Lie groups, it also brings an attention to the quantitative side of the distribution picture. By now several attempts have been made towards a quantitative analogue of Wang's Theorem but still very little is known.

The problem of determining the number of discrete subgroups of bounded covolumes naturally splits into two parts: the first part is to count maximal lattices and the second, to count subgroups of bounded index in a given lattice. A recent project initiated by A. Lubotzky in [L] resulted in a significant progress towards understanding the subgroup growth of lattices, which also allowed to formulate general conjectures on the asymptotic of the number of lattices of bounded covolumes in semi-simple Lie groups (see [BGLM], [GLP], [LN] and [LS]). In this paper we consider another part of the problem: we count maximal lattices in a given semi-simple Lie group.

Let H be a product of groups $H_s(k_s)$, $s \in S$, where S is a finite set, each k_s is an archimedean local field (i.e. $k_s = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) and $H_s(k_s)$ is an absolutely almost simple k_s -group. Then H is a semi-simple Lie group. Throughout this paper we shall consider only semi-simple Lie groups of this form. We shall assume, moreover, that H is connected and none of the factors $H_s(k_s)$ is compact or has type A_1 . In particular, H can be a non-compact simple Lie group (real or complex) not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $m_H^u(x)$ and $m_H^{nu}(x)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of maximal cocompact irreducible arithmetic subgroups and maximal non-cocompact irreducible arithmetic subgroups in H of covolume less than x , respectively. If the real rank of H is greater than 1, then by Margulis' theorem [M, Theorem 1, p. 2] these numbers are equal to the numbers of the conjugacy classes of maximal uniform and non-uniform irreducible lattices in H of covolume less than x . In the real rank 1 case there may also exist non-arithmetic lattices which we do not consider here.

Theorem 1. A. *If H contains an irreducible cocompact arithmetic subgroup (or, equivalently, H is isotypic), then there exist effectively computable positive constants*

A and B which depend only on the type of almost simple factors of H , such that for sufficiently large x

$$x^A \leq m_H^u(x) \leq x^{B\beta(x)},$$

where $\beta(x)$ is a function which we define for an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ as $\beta(x) = C(\log x)^\epsilon$, $C = C(\epsilon)$ is a constant which depends only on ϵ .

B. If H contains a non-cocompact irreducible arithmetic subgroup then there exist effectively computable positive constants A' , which depends only on the type of almost simple factors of H , and B' depending on H , such that for sufficiently large x

$$x^{A'} \leq m_H^{nu}(x) \leq x^{B'}.$$

Conjecturally, the function $\beta(x)$ in part A can be also replaced by a constant and the constant B' in part B depends only on the type of almost simple factors of H . This would require, in particular, a polynomial bound on the number of fields with a bounded discriminant. The existence of such a bound is an old conjecture in number theory which is possibly due to Linnik, it appears in a stronger form as Conjecture 9.3.5 in H. Cohen's book [Coh]. In fact, as a corollary from the proof of Theorem 1 we can show an equivalence of the conjecture " $\beta(x) = \text{const}$ " to Linnik's conjecture (see Sect. 6.6).

Theorem 1 is motivated by the problem of distribution of lattices in semi-simple Lie groups. An application of this results and its corollaries in Section 6 to the problem is a part of a joint work with A. Lubotzky [BL].

Concluding the introduction let us briefly outline the proof of the theorem. Our method is based on the work of Borel and Prasad [BP]. What makes our task different from the results in [BP] is that besides proving the finiteness of the number of arithmetic subgroups of bounded covolumes we want to give bounds or, at least, asymptotic bounds for the number. This requires certain modifications to the method on one side and some special number theoretic results on the other. In Proposition 3.3 we improve a number theoretic result from [BP, Sect. 6] which enables us to effectively count the possible fields of definition of the maximal arithmetic subgroups. The proof of this proposition is technical and can be safely skipped in the first reading. The key ingredient for a good upper bound for the number of fields is an extension of a recent work of J. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh [EV], which we formulate in Proposition 3.1 and for which the proof is given in Appendix. After bounding the number of possible fields of definition k , we count admissible k -forms, corresponding collections of local factors and conjugacy classes of arithmetic subgroups. Here we use some Galois cohomology techniques, the Hasse principle and basic number theoretic Proposition 3.2. Altogether these lead to the proof of the upper bounds in Theorem 1 given in Section 4. The lower bounds are easier, they are established in Section 5.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to Alex Lubotzky for his lecture, which inspired this project, and for many helpful discussions. I wish to thank Tsachik Gelander, Alireza Salehi Golsefidy, Gopal Prasad and the anonymous referees for the critical remarks and suggestions. Jordan Ellenberg and Akshay Venkatesh contributed to this project valuable number theoretic support. This research was carried out while I held a Golda–Meir Postdoctoral Fellowship at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. I also would like to thank for hospitality the MPIM in Bonn where a part of this work was completed.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ARITHMETIC SUBGROUPS

The aim of this section is to give a short account of the fundamental results of Borel and Prasad ([BP], [P]) which will be used in this paper. We would like to encourage the reader to look into the original articles cited above for a better understanding of the subject. Our modest purpose here is to settle down the notation and recall some formulas for the future reference.

2.1. Throughout this paper k is a number field, $\mathcal{O}_k$ its ring of integers, $V = V(k)$ the set of places (valuations) of k which is the union of $V_\infty(k)$ archimedean and $V_f(k)$ nonarchimedean places, and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_k$ is the ring of adèles of k . The number of archimedean places of k is denoted by $a(k) = \#V_\infty(k)$. Let $r_1(k), r_2(k)$ denote the number of real and complex places of k , respectively, so $a(k) = r_1(k) + r_2(k)$. As usual, $\mathcal{D}_k$ and h_k stay for the absolute value of the discriminant of $k/\mathbb{Q}$ and the class number of k . For a finite extension l of k , $\mathcal{D}_{l/k}$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}$ -norm of the relative discriminant of l over k .

All logarithms in the paper are in base 2 unless stated otherwise.

2.2. Let G/k be an algebraic group defined over a number field k such that there exists a continuous surjective homomorphism $\phi : G(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})^o \rightarrow H$ with a compact kernel. We shall call such fields k and k -groups G *admissible*. If $S \subset V_\infty(k)$ is the set of archimedean places of k over which G is isotropic (i.e., non-compact), then ϕ induces an epimorphism $G_S = \prod_{v \in S} G(k_v)^o \rightarrow H$ whose kernel is a finite subgroup of G_S .

We consider G as a k -subgroup of $GL(n)$ for large enough n and define a subgroup Γ of $G(k)$ to be *arithmetic* if it is commensurable with the subgroup of k -integral points $G(k) \cap GL(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$, that is, the intersection $\Gamma \cap GL(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$ is of finite index in both Γ and $G(k) \cap GL(n, \mathcal{O}_k)$. The subgroups of H which are commensurable with $\phi(\Gamma)$ for some admissible G/k are called *arithmetic subgroups* of H defined over the field k .

We restrict ourselves to the irreducible lattices which implies that in the definition of the arithmetic subgroups it is enough to consider only simply connected absolutely almost simple algebraic groups G (see [M, Chapter 9.1]).

2.3. A semi-simple Lie group contains irreducible lattices if and only if all its almost simple factors have the same type (H is *isotypic*). For example, we can take $H = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^a \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})^b$ or $H = SO(p_1, q_1) \times SO(p_2, q_2)$ ($p_1 + q_1 = p_2 + q_2$), but in $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ all lattices are reducible. Sufficiency of this condition is provided by the Borel–Harder theorem [BH] and its necessity is discussed e.g. in [M, Chapter 9.4]. Note that in general the assumption that H is isotypic does not imply that H contains non-uniform irreducible lattices as is shown by an example due to G. Prasad (see Prop. 12.31 in D. Witte Morris, *Introduction to Arithmetic Groups*, preliminary version of the book available from the author’s website at <http://people.uleth.ca/~dave.morris>). This is the reason why we have to impose an additional assumption concerning the existence of non-uniform irreducible lattices in part B of the theorem.

2.4. The methods of Borel–Prasad require a considerable amount of the Bruhat–Tits theory of reductive groups over local fields. We assume familiarity with the

theory and recall only some basic definitions. An extensive account of what we need can be found in Tits' survey article [T].

Let K be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero (a finite extension of the p -adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$) and let G be an absolutely almost simple simply connected K -group. The Bruhat–Tits theory associates to G/K a simplicial complex $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(G/K)$ on which $G(K)$ acts by simplicial automorphisms which are special (which implies, in particular, that if an element of $G(K)$ leaves a simplex of $\mathcal{B}$ stable, then it fixes the simplex pointwise). The complex $\mathcal{B}$ is called the *affine building* of G/K . A *parahoric subgroup* P of $G(K)$ is defined as a stabilizer of a simplex of $\mathcal{B}$. Every parahoric subgroup is compact and open in $G(K)$ in the p -adic topology. Minimal parahoric subgroups are called *Iwahori*, they are defined as subgroups of $G(K)$ fixing chambers (i.e., maximal simplexes) in $\mathcal{B}$. All Iwahori subgroups are conjugate in $G(K)$. Maximal parahoric subgroups are the maximal compact subgroups of $G(K)$, they are characterised by the property of being stabilizers of the vertices of $\mathcal{B}$. A maximal parahoric subgroup is called *special* if it fixes a *special vertex* of $\mathcal{B}$. A vertex $x \in \mathcal{B}$ is special if the affine Weyl group W of $G(K)$ is a semidirect product of the translation subgroup by the isotropy group W_x of x in W . In this case W_x is canonically isomorphic to the (finite) Weyl group of the K -root system of G . If G is quasi-split over K and splits over an unramified extension of K , then $G(K)$ contains *hyperspecial* parahoric subgroups. We refer to [T, 1.10] for the definition of hyperspecial parahorics, an important property of these subgroups is that they have maximal volumes among all parahoric subgroups [T, 3.8.2].

2.5. We shall now define a Haar measure μ on H with respect to which the volumes of arithmetic quotients will be computed. Of course, the final result then will hold for any other normalization of the Haar measure on H . The definition and most of the subsequent facts come from [P], [BP].

Let G be a semi-simple algebraic group defined over a number field k . Let $v \in V_\infty(k)$ be an archimedean place of k . First consider the case when $k_v = \mathbb{R}$. There exists a unique anisotropic $\mathbb{R}$ -form G_{cpt} of G which has a natural Haar measure giving the group volume 1. This measure can be transferred to $G(k_v)$ in a standard way and we define μ_v as its image. It is a canonical Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{R})$. In case $k_v = \mathbb{C}$ we have $G(k_v) = G_1(\mathbb{R})$ with $G_1 = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} G$, and we define μ_v to be equal to the canonical measure on $G_1(\mathbb{R})$. For a subset S of $V_\infty(k)$ the Haar measure μ_S on G_S is defined as a product of μ_v , $v \in S$. This also gives us a measure μ on a semi-simple Lie group H .

2.6. A collection $P = (P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ of parahoric subgroups P_v of a simply connected k -group G is called *coherent* if $\prod_{v \in V_\infty} G(k_v) \cdot \prod_{v \in V_f} P_v$ is an open subgroup of the adèle group $G(\mathbb{A}_k)$. A coherent collection of parahoric subgroups $P = (P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ defines an arithmetic subgroup $\Lambda = G(k) \cap \prod_{v \in V_f} P_v$ of $G(k)$ which will be called *principal arithmetic subgroup* associated to P . The corresponding arithmetic subgroup $\Lambda' = \phi(\Lambda) \subset H$ shall be also called *principal*.

The covolume of a principal arithmetic subgroup Λ of G_S (in our case $S \subset V_\infty$) with respect to the measure μ defined as above is given by Prasad's formula [P, Theorem 3.7]:

$$\mu_S(G_S/\Lambda) = \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2} (\mathcal{D}_l/\mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{\frac{1}{2}s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \tau_k(G) \mathcal{E}(P),$$

where

$\dim(G)$ and m_i denote the dimension and Lie exponents of G ;

l is a Galois extension of k defined as in [P, 0.2] (if G is not a k -form of type 6D_4 , then l is the split field of the quasi-split inner k -form of G , and if G is of type 6D_4 , then l is a fixed cubic extension of k contained in the corresponding split field; in all the cases $[l : k] \leq 3$);

$s = s(G)$ is an integer defined in [P, 0.4], in particular, $s = 0$ if G is an inner form of a split group and $s \geq 5$ if G is an outer form;

$\tau_k(G)$ is the Tamagawa number of G over k , since G is simply connected and k is a number field $\tau_k(G) = 1$;

$\mathcal{E}(P) = \prod_{v \in V_f} e_v$ is an Euler product of the local factors $e_v = e(P_v)$; for $v \in V_f$, e_v is the inverse of the volume of P_v with respect to the Haar measure $\gamma_v \omega_v^*$ defined in [P, 1.3, 2.1]. These factors can be computed using the Bruhat–Tits theory, in particular, $e_v > 1$ for every $v \in V_f$ [P, Prop. 2.10(iv)].

2.7. Any maximal arithmetic subgroup Γ of H can be obtained as a normalizer in H of the image Λ' of some principal arithmetic subgroup of $G(k)$ (see [BP, Prop. 1.4(iv)]). Moreover, the collections of parahoric subgroups which are associated to the maximal arithmetic subgroups have maximal types in a sense of Rohlfs [R] (see also [CR]). So in order to compute the covolume of a maximal arithmetic subgroup we need to be able to compute the index of a principal arithmetic subgroup in its normalizer. In a general setting the upper bound for the index was obtained in [BP, Sect. 2]:

$$[\Gamma : \Lambda'] \leq n^{\epsilon \# S} \cdot \# H^1(k, C)_\xi \cdot \prod_{v \in V_f} \# \Xi_{\Theta_v}.$$

Here n and ϵ are constants to be defined below, so $n^{\epsilon \# S}$ depends only on H and does not depend on the choice of $G(k)$ and Λ . $H^1(k, C)_\xi$ is a finite subgroup of the first Galois cohomology group of k with coefficients in the center of G defined in [BP, 2.10]. The order of $H^1(k, C)_\xi$ can be further estimated (see [BP, Sect. 5]), the following bound is a combination of Propositions 5.1 and 5.6 [loc. cit.]:

$$\# H^1(k, C)_\xi \leq 2h_l^{\epsilon'} n^{\epsilon a(k) + \epsilon' a(l) + \epsilon \# T} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{\epsilon''},$$

where

$n = r + 1$ if G is of type A_r ; $n = 2$ if G is of type B_r , C_r (r arbitrary), D_r (with r even), or E_7 ; $n = 3$ if G is of type E_6 ; $n = 4$ if G is of type D_r with r odd; $n = 1$ if G is of type E_8 , F_4 or G_2 ;

$\epsilon = 2$ if G is of type D_r with r even, $\epsilon = 1$ otherwise (so the center $C = C(G)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\epsilon$ and $\#C = n^\epsilon$);

$\epsilon' = \epsilon$ if G is an inner form of a k -split group, $\epsilon' = 1$ otherwise;

$\epsilon'' = 1$ if G/k is an outer form of type D_r (r even) and $= 0$ otherwise;

T is the set of places $v \in V_f$ for which G splits over an unramified extension of k_v but is not quasi-split over k_v .

Finally, Ξ_{Θ_v} is a subgroup of the automorphism group of the affine Dynkin diagram which is coming from the adjoint group and preserving the type Θ_v of P_v . In particular, $\# \Xi_{\Theta_v} \leq r + 1$ and $\# \Xi_{\Theta_v} = 1$ if P_v is special.

2.8. As a result we have the following lower bound for the covolume of Γ :

$$\mu(H/\Gamma) \geq (2n^{\epsilon a(k) + \epsilon' a(l)} h_l^{\epsilon'})^{-1} \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_l}{\mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]}} \right)^{s'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \tau_k(G) \mathcal{F},$$

where

$s' = s/2 - 1$ if G/k is an outer form of type D_r , r even, and $s' = s/2$ otherwise;

$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{v \in V_f} f_v$, with $f_v = e_v(\#\Xi_{\Theta_v})^{-1} = e_v$ if G is quasi-split over k_v and P_v is hyperspecial (which is true for almost all v), $f_v = e_v n^{-\epsilon} (\#\Xi_{\Theta_v})^{-1}$ if G splits over an unramified extension of k_v but is not quasi-split over k_v , and $f_v = e_v(\#\Xi_{\Theta_v})^{-1}$ in the rest of the cases. Using the computations in [BP, Appendixes A,C] it is not hard to check that $f_v > 1$ for every $v \in V_f$.

We refer to [BP, Sections 3,5] for more details about this formula.

3. NUMBER THEORETIC RESULTS

3.1. Let $N_{k,d}(x)$ be the number of k -isomorphism classes of extensions l of k such that $[l:k] = d$, $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} < x$, and let $N(x)$ be the number of isomorphism classes of number fields with discriminant less than x .

Proposition. *For large enough positive x we have*

- (i) *given a number field k and a fixed degree d , there exist absolute constants $c, b_1, b_2 > 0$ such that $N_{k,d}(x) \leq c \mathcal{D}_k^{b_1} x^{b_2}$;*
- (ii) *for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $N(x) \leq x^{\beta(x)}$, $\beta(x) = C(\log x)^\epsilon$.*

Proof. (i) follows e.g. from [EV, Theorem 1.1].

(ii) follows from the method of [EV] but requires some extra work, namely, we have to know how the implicit constants in [EV, Theorem 1.1] depend on the degree of the extensions in order to be sure that this does not change the expected upper bound. This will be carried out in detail in Appendix provided by J. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh. $\square$

3.2. Let $Q_k(x)$ be the number of squarefree ideals of k of norm $\leq x$.

Proposition.

- (i) $Q_k(x) = \frac{\text{Res}_{s=1}(\zeta_k)}{\zeta_k(2)} x + o(x)$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) *There exist absolute constants b_3, b_4 (not depending on k) such that $Q_k(x) \leq \mathcal{D}_k^{b_3} x^{b_4}$.*

Proof. (i) is a known fact from the analytic number theory. For a short and conceptual proof we refer to [S, Theorem 14].

(ii) As far as we do not claim that $b_4 = 1$ the proof is easy. Consider the Dedekind zeta function of k :

$$\zeta_k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s},$$

a_n is the number of ideals of k of norm n , $s > 1$.

Let $I_k(x)$ denote the number of ideals of k of norm less than x . We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(x) &= a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{[x]}; \\ \zeta_k(s) \cdot x^s &\geq I_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $s = 2$ we obtain

$$Q_k(x) \leq I_k(x) \leq \zeta_k(2) \cdot x^2 \leq \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} x^2 \leq c_1^{\log \mathcal{D}_k} x^2 = \mathcal{D}_k^{b_3} x^{b_4}.$$

Here we used inequalities $\zeta_k(2) \leq \zeta(2)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$ and $[k:\mathbb{Q}] \leq c \log \mathcal{D}_k$. The first of them follows from the definition of the functions ζ and ζ_k , and the second is a well known corollary of Minkowski's discriminant bound. $\square$

3.3. Finally we shall need an improved version of a number theoretic result from [BP, Sect. 6]. The main idea is that instead of using $\mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(\mathbf{G})/2}$ to absorb the small factors in the volume formula we shall use only part of it saving the rest for a later occasion. This is easy to achieve for the groups of a large enough absolute rank while when the rank becomes small the estimates become much more delicate.

Let $\mathbf{G}/k$ be an absolutely almost simple, simply connected algebraic group of absolute rank $r \geq 2$, so the numbers n , ϵ , ϵ' , s' and $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r$ are fixed and defined as in Sect. 2. Let

$$B(\mathbf{G}/k) = \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(\mathbf{G})/2} n^{-\epsilon a(k) - \epsilon' a(l)} h_l^{-\epsilon'} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{s'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Then by 2.8 we have $\mu(H/\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{2} B(\mathbf{G}/k) \tau_k(\mathbf{G}) \mathcal{F} \geq \frac{1}{2} B(\mathbf{G}/k)$ for every arithmetic subgroup Γ of H which is associated to $\mathbf{G}/k$.

Proposition. *There exist positive constants δ_1 , δ_2 depending only on the absolute type of $\mathbf{G}$, such that $B(\mathbf{G}/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{\delta_1} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}^{\delta_2}$ for almost all number fields k .*

Proof. Given an absolutely almost simple, simply connected algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ of an absolute type T and rank r , we shall show that for almost all k :

- (i) $B(\mathbf{G}/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(\mathbf{G})/2-2} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$ if $r \geq 30$;
- (ii) $B(\mathbf{G}/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$ if $r < 30$ and T is not A_2 , A_3 , B_2 ;
- (iii) $B(\mathbf{G}/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{0.1} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$ if T is A_3 or B_2 ;
- (iv) $B(\mathbf{G}/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{0.01} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}^{0.5}$ if T is A_2 .

Clearly, altogether these four inequalities imply the proposition.

Assume first that $\mathbf{G}$ is not a k -form of type 6D_4 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [l:k] &\leq 2; \\ n^{-\epsilon a(k) - \epsilon' a(l)} &\geq n^{-\epsilon(a(k) + a(l))} \geq (r+1)^{-3[k:\mathbb{Q}]}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that

$$(1) \quad h_l \leq 10^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)^{[l:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{D}_l$$

(cf. [BP, proof of 6.1], let us point out that this bound holds without any assumption on the degree of the field l);

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]} = \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \geq 1.$$

Combining the above inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B(G/k) &= \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2} n^{-\epsilon a(k) - \epsilon' a(l)} h_l^{-\epsilon'} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{s'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \\
&\geq 10^{-2\epsilon'} \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2} \left(\frac{\pi}{12} \right)^{-\epsilon' [l:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \left(\frac{1}{(r+1)^3} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \\
&\geq 10^{-2\epsilon'} \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2} \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \left(\frac{1}{(\pi/12)(r+1)^3} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}
\end{aligned}$$

(if G is k -split, then $s' = 0$, $l = k$, $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} = 1$; in the non-split case we use the fact that $s' > 2$).

Since for i large enough $m_i! \gg (2\pi)^{m_i+1}$ it is clear that for large enough r

$$\frac{1}{(\pi/12)(r+1)^3} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} > 1.$$

An easy direct computation shows that starting from $r = 30$,

$$10^{-2\epsilon'} \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2} \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \left(\frac{1}{(\pi/12)(r+1)^3} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}.$$

So for $r \geq 30$, $\delta = \dim(G)/2 - 2$ and any field k we have $B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$, the finite set of the exceptional fields is empty and (i) is proved.

To proceed with the argument let us remark that

$$B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}^c,$$

where $c > 0$ depends only on the absolute type of G and degree $d = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. So, if the degree d is fixed, then for any $z > 0$ which will be chosen later we have

$$(3) \quad B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2-z} \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \mathcal{D}_k^z c \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2-2-z} \mathcal{D}_{l/k},$$

for all k with $\mathcal{D}_k \geq c^{-z}$. Since there are only finitely many number fields with a bounded discriminant, (3) holds for all but finitely many k of degree d . Since we always have $\dim(G)/2 > 2$, this allows to assume (at least when G is not 6D_4) that the degree of k is large enough.

We now come to the case (ii). Let G be still not of type 6D_4 . By the previous remark we can suppose that $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$ is large enough. We have the following lower bound for $\mathcal{D}_k$ due to A. Odlyzko [Od, Theorem 1]:

$$(4) \quad \text{If } [k : \mathbb{Q}] > 10^5, \text{ then } \mathcal{D}_k \geq 55^{r_1(k)} 21^{2r_2(k)}.$$

So for $[k : \mathbb{Q}] > 10^5$,

$$B(G/k) \geq 10^{-2\epsilon'} \left(\frac{21^{\dim(G)/2-2-\delta}}{(\pi/12)(r+1)^3} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_{l/k}.$$

A direct case by case verification shows that for $\delta = 1$ the latter expression is $\geq \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$. So if we put $z = \dim(G)/2 - 3$ in (3), then we obtain that for all but finitely many k , $B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$.

Let now G/k be a triality form of type 6D_4 . We have

$$\epsilon = 2, \quad \epsilon' = 1, \quad n = 2, \quad s' = 2.5, \quad \{m_i\} = \{1, 3, 5, 3\};$$

$$[l : k] = 3 \text{ and } a(l) \leq 3[k : \mathbb{Q}].$$

So if $[k : \mathbb{Q}] > 10^5$,

$$B(G/k) \geq 10^{-2} \left(\frac{21^{14-3-\delta}}{(\pi/12) \cdot 2^3} \cdot \frac{6 \cdot 120 \cdot 6}{(2\pi)^{16}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_{l/k}.$$

For $\delta = 1$ it is $\geq \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$. If $[k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 10^5$ we still have the inequality (3) (the precise formula for the constant c would be different but it is not essential), so for all but finitely many k , again $B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$. The case (ii) is now settled completely.

Let G/k be of type A_3 or B_2 . As before we can assume $[k : \mathbb{Q}] > 10^5$. We have

$$B(G/k) \geq 10^{-2} \left(\frac{\pi}{12} \right)^{-[l:\mathbb{Q}]} \left(\frac{21^{\dim(G)/2 - [l:k] - \delta}}{n^{1+[l:k]}} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_{l/k}.$$

Now, $n = 4$ and $n = 2$ for the types A_3 and B_2 , respectively; if $l \neq k$, then $[l : \mathbb{Q}] = 2[k : \mathbb{Q}]$. Using this it is easy to check that if $\delta = 0.1$, then $B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_{l/k}$ in each of the possible cases.

It remains to consider (iv). This is the most difficult case but the proof almost repeats the argument of [BP, Prop. 6.1(vi)].

With the notations of [BP], for $[l : \mathbb{Q}] > 10^5$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(G/k) &= \mathcal{D}_k^4 \cdot 3^{-a(k)-a(l)} h_l^{-1} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{5/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^4 \pi^5} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \\ &= \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \mathcal{D}_l^{2-\delta/2} (\mathcal{D}_{l/k})^{1/2} 3^{-a(k)-a(l)} h_l^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^4 \pi^5} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \\ &\geq \mathcal{D}_k^\delta (\mathcal{D}_{l/k})^{1/2} \frac{0.02}{s(s-1)} \left(\frac{55^{(4-\delta-s)/2}}{2 \cdot 3^{3/2} \cdot \pi^{(6-s)/2}} \right)^{r_1(l)} \left(\frac{21^{(4-\delta-s)}}{2^{(4-s)} \cdot 3^2 \cdot \pi^{(5-s)}} \right)^{r_2(l)} \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left((3-\delta-s)Z_l(s) - (4-\delta-s) \left(\frac{c_1}{2} + (s-1)^{-1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (0.1 - (c_3 + c_4)(s-1))a(l) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\delta = 0.01$. Since

$$55^{2.99/2} (2 \cdot 3^{3/2} \cdot \pi^{5/2})^{-1} > 2.19, \quad 21^{2.99} (2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot \pi^4)^{-1} > 1.28,$$

$$\text{and } \exp((3-\delta-s)Z_l(s)) \geq 1 \text{ if } s < 2-\delta,$$

by choosing $s > 1$ sufficiently close to 1, we obtain that there is an absolute constant c_6 such that

$$\mathcal{D}_k^4 \cdot 3^{-a(k)-a(l)} h_l^{-1} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{5/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^4 \pi^5} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{0.01} (\mathcal{D}_{l/k})^{1/2} 2.19^{r_1(l)} 1.28^{r_2(l)} c_6.$$

The right-hand side is $\geq \mathcal{D}_k^{0.01} (\mathcal{D}_{l/k})^{1/2}$ if $[l : \mathbb{Q}]$ is large enough, say, $[l : \mathbb{Q}] > d_l$ (and $d_l \geq 10^5$).

If $[l : \mathbb{Q}] < d_l$, then $[k : \mathbb{Q}] < d_l$ and by (3) for all but finitely many fields k we have

$$B(G/k) \geq \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \geq \mathcal{D}_k^{0.01} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}^{0.5}.$$

□

Remark. The proof provides explicit values of δ_1, δ_2 for each of the types, however in many cases the bound for $B(G/k)$ can be improved. This will require more careful argument and can be useful for particular applications.

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM. THE UPPER BOUND

As before H denotes a connected semi-simple Lie group whose almost simple factors are all non-compact and have the same type different from A_1 , G is an absolutely almost simple simply connected k -group admissible in the sense that there exists a continuous surjective homomorphism $G(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})^o \rightarrow H$ with a compact kernel.

4.1. Counting number fields. For a (maximal) arithmetic subgroup Γ of H we have (see 2.8)

$$(1) \quad \mu(H/\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{2} B(G/k) \tau_k(G) \mathcal{F}$$

where k is the field of definition of Γ ,

G/k is a k -form from which Γ is induced (see 2.2);

$$B(G/k) = \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2} n^{-\epsilon a(k) - \epsilon' a(l)} h_l^{-\epsilon'} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{s'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]};$$

$$\tau_k(G) = 1;$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{v \in V_f} f_v > 1 \quad \text{will be considered later.}$$

By Proposition 3.3 for all but finitely many number fields k

$$\mu(H/\Gamma) \geq c_1 \mathcal{D}_k^{\delta_1} \mathcal{D}_{l/k}^{\delta_2},$$

where δ_1, δ_2 are the constants determined by the absolute type of G (which is the type of almost simple factors of H).

So for large enough x , if $\mu_S(H/\Gamma) < x$, then $\mathcal{D}_k < (x/c_1)^{1/\delta_1}$, $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} < (x/c_1)^{1/\delta_2}$.

By Proposition 3.1(ii), the number of such fields k is at most

$$(x/c_1)^{\beta((x/c_1)^{1/\delta_1})} \leq x^{c_2 \beta(x)},$$

and by Proposition 3.1(i), for each k the number of such extensions l is at most

$$c \mathcal{D}_k^{b_1} (x/c_1)^{b_2/\delta_2} \leq c (x/c_1)^{b_1/\delta_1} (x/c_1)^{b_2/\delta_2} \leq x^{c_3}.$$

It follows that the number of all admissible pairs (k, l) is bounded by

$$x^{c_2 \beta(x) + c_3},$$

and, moreover, since $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ implies $[k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq c \log \mathcal{D}_k$, for all admissible k we have

$$a(k) \leq c_4 \log x.$$

4.2. Non-cocompact case. If Γ is non-cocompact, the degree of the field of definition of Γ is bounded. Indeed, the non-cocompactness of Γ implies that the corresponding algebraic group G is k -isotropic, so G/k_v is non-compact for every $v \in V$. It follows that the number of infinite places of k is equal to the number $\#S$ of almost simple factors of H , so $[k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2\#S$.

Now in 4.1 we can consider only the number fields k with $[k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2\#S$ and the number fields l with $[l : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 3[k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 6\#S$. By Proposition 3.1(i), for large enough x the number of admissible pairs (k, l) is at most

$$x^{c_5}, \quad c_5 = c_5(\#S)$$

(in fact, here we could use a weaker result by W. M. Schmidt, *Astérisque*, **228**(1995), 189–195, who showed that the number of degree n extensions l of k with $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} < x$ is bounded by $C(n)x^{(n+2)/4}$).

4.3. Counting k -forms. Given an admissible pair (k, l) of number fields, there exists a unique quasi-split k -form $\mathcal{G}$ for which l is the splitting field (or a certain subfield of the splitting field if $\mathcal{G}$ is of type 6D_4 and $[l : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$). So we have an upper bound for the number of quasi-split groups for which there can exist an inner form that defines an arithmetic subgroup of covolume less than x . We now fix a quasi-split k -form $\mathcal{G}$ and estimate the number of admissible inner forms. Since every inner equivalence class of k -forms contains a unique quasi-split form, this will give us a bound on the total number of admissible G/k .

By the assumption, $\prod_{v \in V_\infty(k)} G(k_v)$ is isogenous to $H \times K$ (K is a compact Lie group), so the k_v -form of G is almost fixed at the infinite places of k . More precisely, let c_h be the number of non-isomorphic almost simple factors of H . For each $v \in V_\infty(k)$, $G(k_v)$ is isomorphic to one of c_h non-compact (simply connected) groups or is compact, and the number of places v at which $G(k_v)$ is non-compact $n_h = \#S$. This implies that the number of variants for $G(k_v)$ at the infinite places of k is bounded by

$$c_h^{n_h} \binom{a(k)}{n_h} < (c_h a(k))^{n_h} \leq (\log x)^{c_6}$$

($\binom{\cdot}{\cdot}$ denotes the binomial coefficient).

Let now v be a finite place of k . The inner k_v -forms of G correspond to the elements of the first Galois cohomology set $H^1(k_v, \overline{G})$, $\overline{G}$ is the adjoint group of G . The order of $H^1(k_v, \overline{G})$ can be computed from the cohomological exact sequence

$$H^1(k_v, G) \rightarrow H^1(k_v, \overline{G}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(k_v, C),$$

which corresponds to the universal k_v -covering sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 1.$$

For a simply connected k_v -group G the first cohomology $H^1(k_v, G)$ is trivial by a theorem of Kneser [Kn], so δ is injective. Furthermore, the group $H^2(k_v, C)$ can be identified with a subgroup of the Brauer group of k_v and then explicitly computed using results from the local class field theory. We refer to [PR, Chapter 6] for details and explanations. As a corollary here we have that the number of inner k_v -forms is bounded by n^ϵ in the notation of 2.7 (recall that $n^\epsilon = \#C$ is the order of the center of G).

Let $T_1 \subset V_f(k)$ be a (finite) subset of the nonarchimedean places of k such that G is not quasi-split over k_v for $v \in T_1$. It follows from [P, Prop. 2.10] that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$, which depends only on the absolute type of G , such that for every $v \in T_1$,

$$(2) \quad f_v \geq n^{-\epsilon} (\#\Xi_{\Theta_v})^{-1} e_v \geq q_v^\delta$$

(q_v denotes the order of the residue field of k at v).

Indeed, we can take $\delta = \log(2^{r_v} n^{-\epsilon})$ if the absolute type of G is not A_2 and $\delta = \log(2^2 \cdot 3^{-1}) = 0.415\dots$ for the type A_2 , and then check that $\delta > 0$ and inequality (2) holds going through the case-by-case consideration in [BP, Appendix C.2].

To a set $T \subset V_f(k)$ we can assign an ideal $\mathcal{I}_T$ of $\mathcal{O}_k$ given by the product of prime ideals defining the places in T . Reversely, each squarefree ideal of $\mathcal{O}_k$ uniquely defines a subset T in $V_f(k)$ corresponding to its prime decomposition. Note also that $\prod_{v \in T} q_v = \text{Norm}(\mathcal{I}_T)$.

Now for an arithmetic subgroup Γ induced from G we have

$$\mu(H/\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} B(G/k) \tau_k(G) \mathcal{F} \geq c_7 \prod_{v \in T_1} q_v^\delta.$$

This implies that if $\mu(H/\Gamma) \leq x$, then $\text{Norm}(\mathcal{I}_{T_1}) = \prod_{v \in T_1} q_v \leq x^{c_8}$. By Proposition 3.2(ii) the number of variants for T_1 is bounded by x^{c_9} , moreover, since for every $v \in V_f$, $q_v \geq 2$, for every such a set T_1 we have $\#T_1 \leq c_{10} \log x$.

Now the Hasse principle implies that a k -form of G is uniquely determined by $(G(k_v))_{v \in V(k)}$. The Hasse principle for semi-simple groups is valid due to the work of Kneser, Harder, Chernousov (cf. [PR, Chapter 6]). So the number of the admissible k -forms is at most

$$(\log x)^{c_6} x^{c_8} n^{\epsilon c_{10} \log x} \leq x^{c_{11}}.$$

4.4. Counting collections of parahorics. For a given large enough x we have defined a collection of G/k for which there exists a (centrally) k -isogenous group G' which may give rise to the arithmetic subgroups $\Gamma \subset H$ with $\mu(H/\Gamma) < x$. The number of such k -groups G is finite and can be bounded as in 4.3, but still each G/k defines countably many maximal arithmetic subgroups. We shall now fix a group G/k and estimate the number of coherent collections of parahoric subgroups of G which can give rise to the maximal arithmetic subgroups with covolumes less than x . In the classical language, what we are going to do in this section is to count the number of admissible genera.

We use again the local to global approach. Let us fix a central k -isogeny $i : G \rightarrow G'$ with G' such that G'_S projects onto H . Every maximal arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset G'_S$ is associated to some coherent collection $P = (P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ of parahoric subgroups of G (see [BP, Prop. 1.4]):

$$(3) \quad \Gamma = N_{G'}(i(\Lambda)), \quad \Lambda = G(k) \cap \prod_{v \in V_f(k)} P_v.$$

The image of Γ in H is an arithmetic subgroup and every maximal arithmetic subgroup of H can be obtained as a projection of some such Γ .

For almost all finite places v of k , G is quasi-split over k_v and splits over an unramified extension of k_v . Moreover, for almost all such v , P_v is hyperspecial. Any two hyperspecial parahoric subgroups of $G(k_v)$ are conjugate under the action

of the adjoint group $\overline{G}(k_v)$ [T, Sect. 2.5], so P is determined up to the action of $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ by the types of P_v at the remaining places. Using this we shall now count the number of P 's.

Let as in 4.3, T_1 denote the set of places of k for which G is not quasi-split. By the previous argument we have

$$\#T_1 \leq c_{10} \log x, \quad \#(\text{variants for } T_1) \leq x^{c_9} \quad (\text{see 4.3}).$$

Let R denote the set of places for which G is quasi-split but is not split over an unramified extension of k_v . For such places $v \in V_f$, $l_v = l \otimes_k k_v$ is a ramified extension of k_v and so by the formula from [P, Appendix], each of such places contributes to $\mathcal{D}_{l/k}$ a power of q_v . Using again Proposition 3.2(ii) and the inequality $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} \leq x^c$ from 4.1, we obtain

$$\#R \leq c_{12} \log x, \quad \#(\text{variants for } R) \leq x^{c_{13}}.$$

Finally, let $T_2 \subset V_f \setminus (T_1 \cup R)$ be the set of places for which P_v is not hyperspecial. If $v \in T_2$, then by [P, Prop. 2.10(iv)]

$$e_v \geq (q_v + 1)^{-1} q_v^{r_v + 1}.$$

Similarly to (2) it implies

$$f_v \geq q_v^\delta.$$

By Proposition 3.2(ii) and the volume formula,

$$\#T_2 \leq c_{14} \log x, \quad \#(\text{variants for } T_2) \leq x^{c_{15}}.$$

Now for a given $v \in V_f$ the number of the possible types of parahoric subgroups (parametrized by the subsets of the set of simple roots) is bounded by a constant c_t which depends only on the absolute type of G . We conclude that for a given G the number of P 's, up to the action of $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$, is at most

$$c_t^{\#(T_1 \cup R \cup T_2)} \#(\text{variants for } T_1 \cup R \cup T_2) \leq c_t^{(c_{10} + c_{12} + c_{14}) \log x} x^{c_9 + c_{13} + c_{15}} = x^{c_{16}}.$$

4.5. Counting conjugacy classes. In this final step we give an upper bound for the number of conjugacy classes of arithmetic subgroups associated to a fixed group G'/k and a given $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -orbit of collections of parahoric subgroups P of a simply connected group G centrally k -isogenous to G' . We are interested in the $\overline{G}(k)$ -conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups associated to P which are indexed by the double cosets $\overline{G}(k) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \overline{G}_\infty \overline{P}$, where $\overline{G}_\infty = \prod_{v \in V_\infty} \overline{G}(k_v)$, $\overline{P}_v$ is the stabilizer of P_v in $\overline{G}(k_v)$ and $\overline{P} = \prod_{v \in V_f} \overline{P}_v$ is a compact open subgroup of $\overline{G}_f = \prod_{v \in V_f} \overline{G}(k_v)$ (see [BP, Prop. 3.10]). The number $c(\overline{P})$ of the double cosets is called the *class number* of $\overline{G}$ with respect to $\overline{P}$. The argument is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.9 in [BP] except that we need to get an explicit upper bound for $c(\overline{P})$.

Let ω be a non-zero invariant exterior k -form of top degree on $\overline{G}$; such a form is unique up to multiplication by an element of k^* and is called a Tamagawa form. We denote by $|\omega|$ the Haar measure on the adèle group $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A})$ determined by ω . The natural embedding of k into $\mathbb{A}$ gives an embedding of $\overline{G}(k)$ in $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A})$ and it is well known that the image of $\overline{G}(k)$ is a lattice in $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A})$. By the product formula its covolume with respect to the measure $|\omega|$ does not depend on the choice of the form ω , thus the number $\tau_k(\overline{G}) := \mathcal{D}_k^{-\dim(\overline{G})/2} |\omega|(\overline{G}(k) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A}))$ is correctly defined. It is called the *Tamagawa number* of $\overline{G}/k$. By a theorem of T. Ono [On], $\tau_k(\overline{G})$ is

bounded by a constant multiple of the order of the center of the simply connected covering group G multiplied by $\tau_k(G)$. The Tamagawa number of a simply connected group is equal to 1 according to the Weil conjecture which has been proved completely for the groups over number fields due to the work of many people (see [P, 3.3] for a short discussion). Therefore we have

$$(4) \quad |\omega|(\overline{G}(k) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A})) = \tau_k(\overline{G}) \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(\overline{G})/2} \leq c_{17} \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(\overline{G})/2}$$

where c_{17} depends only on the absolute type of G .

Coming back to the problem of bounding the class number $c(\overline{P})$, we recall that the double cosets $\overline{G}(k) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A}) / \overline{G}_\infty \overline{P}$ correspond bijectively to the orbits of $\overline{G}_\infty \overline{P}$ on $\overline{G}(k) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A})$ which are open. Given an upper bound for $|\omega|(\overline{G}(k) \backslash \overline{G}(\mathbb{A}))$, in order to give a bound for $c(\overline{P})$ it is enough to obtain a uniform lower bound for the $|\omega|$ -volumes of these orbits. The double cosets are represented by elements of $\overline{G}_f$, so it is sufficient to consider the orbit of the image of $a \in \overline{G}_f$ which is isomorphic to $\Gamma_a \backslash \overline{G}_\infty a \overline{P} a^{-1}$, $\Gamma_a = \overline{G}(k) \cap \overline{G}_\infty a \overline{P} a^{-1}$. Let Γ'_a be the projection of Γ_a to $\overline{G}_\infty$ with respect to the decomposition $\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}) = \overline{G}_\infty \times \overline{G}_f$. As $a \overline{P} a^{-1}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\overline{G}_f$, Γ'_a is an arithmetic subgroup of $\overline{G}_\infty$. We have

$$|\omega|(\Gamma_a \backslash \overline{G}_\infty a \overline{P} a^{-1}) = |\omega|_\infty(\Gamma'_a \backslash \overline{G}_\infty) |\omega|_f(\overline{P}),$$

where $|\omega|_\infty$, $|\omega|_f$ denote the product measures on $\overline{G}_\infty$, $\overline{G}_f$ corresponding to ω .

In order to estimate the factors in the right-hand side of the formula, for each $v \in V(k)$ we shall relate the measure $|\omega|$ to the canonical measure $|\omega_{\overline{G}_v}|$ on $\overline{G}(k_v)$ defined in [Gr, Sections 4, 11]. In particular, if G is simply connected the measure $|\omega_{G_v}|$ coincides with the measure $\gamma_v \omega_v^*$ which is used for the local computations in [P]; for $v \in V_\infty(k)$, $|\omega_{\overline{G}_v}|$ is equal to the measure μ on $\overline{G}(k_v)$ defined as in 2.5; and for all but finitely many v , $|\omega_{\overline{G}_v}| = |\omega|_v$. Let γ_v denote the ratio $|\omega_{\overline{G}_v}| / |\omega|_v$ which by the previous remark is equal to 1 for all but finitely many places v . Hence

$$|\omega|(\Gamma_a \backslash \overline{G}_\infty a \overline{P} a^{-1}) = \mu_\infty(\Gamma'_a \backslash \overline{G}_\infty) \prod_{v \in V_f} |\omega_{\overline{G}_v}|(\overline{P}_v) / \prod_{v \in V} \gamma_v.$$

We now recall the main result of [BP], which implies that covolumes of arithmetic subgroups of $\overline{G}_\infty$ with respect to the measure μ are bounded from below by a universal constant and thus $\mu_\infty(\Gamma'_a \backslash \overline{G}_\infty) \geq \mu_0$.

The crucial ingredient which allows us to carry out the required estimates is the product formula for γ_v . It was obtained in [P, Theorem 1.6] for the simply connected groups and later extended by B. Gross to arbitrary reductive groups defined over number fields (see also [Ku] for the groups over global function fields). Thus by [Gr, Theorem 11.5] we have

$$\prod_{v \in V} \gamma_v = (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{\frac{1}{2}s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

Finally, we shall make use of the following inequality:

$$\textit{Claim. } |\omega_{\overline{G}_v}|(\overline{P}_v) \geq |\omega_{G_v}|(P_v) = e(P_v)^{-1}.$$

The proof of this claim which is given below is quite technical but not conceptually new, related questions were studied in detail and full generality in [Gr], [Ku]. The argument falls into several steps.

Let $K = k_v$ be a nonarchimedean local field, $\mathcal{O}$ its ring of integers, G a simply connected semi-simple K -group, $i : G \rightarrow G'$ a central K -isogeny (we actually need only the case $G' = \overline{G}$) and let $X = X(G)$ denote the Bruhat-Tits building of G/K .

1. We shall assume first that the groups G and G' are quasi-split over K . Let $x \in X$ be a special vertex in X chosen as in [Gr, Sect. 4] (see also [P, 1.2]). The Bruhat-Tits theory assigns to G'/K and $x \in X(G)$ a smooth affine group scheme $\underline{G}'_x$ over $\mathcal{O}$. Its generic fiber is isomorphic to G'/K and its special fiber $\overline{G}'_x$ is connected. Let $P_x = \underline{G}_x(\mathcal{O}) (= \underline{G}_x^0(\mathcal{O}))$, $P'_x = \underline{G}'_x(\mathcal{O})$. Then P_x (resp. P'_x) is an open compact subgroup of $G(K)$ (resp. $G'(K)$), P_x is the stabilizer of x in $G(K)$ and P'_x is contained in the stabilizer of x in $G'(K)$ with finite index. Recall also that the measure $|\omega_G|$ (resp. $|\omega_{G'}|$) corresponds to a differential ω_G (resp. $\omega_{G'}$) of top degree on G (resp. G') over K which has good reduction (see [Gr, Sect. 4]). This brings us to the conditions of Proposition I.2.5 of [Oe], which implies

$$|\omega_G|(P_x) = \#\overline{G}_x(F_q)q^{-\dim G}, \quad |\omega_{G'}|(P'_x) = \#\overline{G}'_x(F_q)q^{-\dim G'},$$

(F_q denotes the residue field of K).

Since G and G' are isogenous, $\overline{G}_x$ and $\overline{G}'_x$ are isogenous. Hence, $\dim G = \dim G'$, and by Lang's theorem, $\#\overline{G}_x(F_q) = \#\overline{G}'_x(F_q)$. Thus we obtain $|\omega_G|(P_x) = |\omega_{G'}|(P'_x)$.

2. Let now C be a chamber of X which contains x and let Ω be a subset of C . Denote by I_C (resp. I'_C) the Iwahori subgroup of $G(K)$ (resp. $G'(K)$) corresponding to C . Note that by definition I'_C is the preimage in $G'(K)$ of a Borel subgroup $\overline{B}'$ of $\overline{G}'_x(F_q)$. Let P_Ω (resp. P'_Ω) be the parahoric subgroup of $G(K)$ (resp. $G'(K)$) associated to Ω ; so $P_\Omega = \underline{G}_\Omega(\mathcal{O})$, $P'_\Omega = \underline{G}'_\Omega(\mathcal{O})$ and any parahoric subgroup of $G(K)$ is conjugate to some P_Ω . The inclusion $\Omega \subset C$ induces a group scheme homomorphism $\rho_{\Omega C} : \underline{G}'_\Omega \rightarrow \underline{G}'_C$ whose reduction maps the group $\overline{G}'_\Omega$ onto a Borel subgroup $\overline{B}'$ of $\overline{G}'_C$. Therefore we have

$$[P'_\Omega : I'_C] = [\overline{G}'_\Omega(F_q) : \overline{B}'] = [\overline{G}_\Omega(F_q) : \overline{B}] = [P_\Omega : I_C],$$

as $\overline{G}'_\Omega$ is isogenous to $\overline{G}_\Omega$, $\overline{B}'$ is isogenous to $\overline{B}$ and all the groups are connected. It follows that $|\omega_{G'}|(P'_\Omega) = |\omega_G|(P_\Omega)$.

3. We finally note that $P'_\Omega \subset \overline{P}'_\Omega$ ($\overline{P}'_\Omega$ denotes the stabilizer of Ω in $G'(K)$) and thus $|\omega_{G'}|(\overline{P}'_\Omega) \geq |\omega_{G'}|(P'_\Omega) = |\omega_G|(P_\Omega)$, which implies the desired inequality in the quasi-split case.

4. In order to extend this result to the general case we have to recall the definition of the canonical measure $|\omega_G|$ for the general G by pull-back from the quasi-split inner form (see [Gr, p. 294]) and its interpretation in terms of the volume form ν_G associated to an Iwahori subgroup of $G(K)$ described in [Gr, pp. 294–295]. The latter allows us to apply the argument similar to step 1 to Iwahori subgroups I_C and I'_C corresponding to a chamber C of $X(G)$, proving $|\omega_G|(I_C) = |\omega_{G'}|(I'_C)$. All the rest of the proof does not depend on the quasi-split assumption and the claim follows.

Let us collect together the results of this section. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(\overline{P}) &\leq \frac{|\omega|(\overline{G}(k)\backslash\overline{G}(\mathbb{A}))\prod_{v\in V}\gamma_v}{\mu_0\prod_{v\in V_f}e(P_v)^{-1}} \\
 (5) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(\overline{G})/2}(\mathcal{D}_l/\mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{\frac{1}{2}s}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r\frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}}\right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\tau_k(\overline{G})\mathcal{E}(P).
 \end{aligned}$$

This formula can be viewed as an extension of the upper bound for the class number from [P, Theorem 4.3].

We now bound the right-hand side of (5). By 4.1, 4.2 we have $\mathcal{D}_k < (x/c_1)^{1/\delta_1}$, $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} < (x/c_1)^{1/\delta_2}$ and $[k:\mathbb{Q}] \leq c \log \mathcal{D}_k$. By (4), $\tau_k(\overline{G}) \leq c_{17}$. From 4.3 and 4.4 it follows that if $\mu(H/\Gamma) \leq x$, then $\prod_{v\in V_f} e_v \leq x^{c_{18}}$ for some constant c_{18} which depends only on the type of almost simple factors of H . Hence it follows from (5) that there exists a constant c_{19} such that

$$c(\overline{P}) \leq x^{c_{19}}.$$

4.6. The upper bounds. It remains to combine the results of the previous sections to get the upper bounds. By 4.1, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5

$$m_H^u(x) \leq x^{c_2\beta(x)+c_3}x^{c_{11}}x^{c_{16}}x^{c_{19}} \leq x^{B\beta(x)},$$

and constant B depends only on the type of almost simple factors of H .

By 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5

$$m_H^{nu}(x) \leq x^{c_5}x^{c_{11}}x^{c_{16}}x^{c_{19}} \leq x^{B'},$$

constant B' depends on the type and the number of almost simple factors of H .

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM. THE LOWER BOUND

5.1. Cocompact case. A theorem of Borel and Harder [BH] implies that a semi-simple group over a local field of characteristic 0 contains cocompact arithmetic lattices. The method of [BH] actually proves the existence of such lattices defined over a given field k , which satisfies a natural admissibility condition, for any isotypic semi-simple Lie group. So if H has a_1 real and a_2 complex almost simple factors (all of the same type) and k is a number field with $> a_1$ real and precisely a_2 complex places, then H contains a cocompact arithmetic subgroup Γ_1 defined over k .

Let Γ_0 be a maximal arithmetic subgroup of H which contains Γ_1 . There exists an absolutely almost simple simply connected k -group G and a principal arithmetic subgroup Λ_0 of G such that $\Gamma_0 = N_H(\phi(\Lambda_0))$.

We assume x is large enough and estimate the number of principal arithmetic subgroups $\Lambda \subset G(k)$ which are associated to the coherent collections of parahoric subgroups of $\mathcal{O}$ -maximal types ([R], [CR]) and such that $\mu_S(G_S/\Lambda) < x$. Then for $\Gamma = N_H(\phi(\Lambda))$ we also have $\mu_S(G_S/\Gamma) < x$. Moreover, by Rohlfs' theorem each such Γ is a maximal arithmetic subgroup of H and all maximal arithmetic subgroups of H are obtained as the normalizers of the images of the principal arithmetic subgroups corresponding to $\mathcal{O}$ -maximal collections of parahorics.

The condition of maximality for the type of a collection of parahoric subgroups $P = (P_v)_{v\in V_f}$ is a local condition on the types of P_v at each $v \in V_f$, while $\mathcal{O}$ -maximality requires an additional global restriction which is needed to further narrow down the set of admissible collections of parahoric subgroups of maximal

types. We shall not give precise definitions here referring the reader to the above cited papers. What is important for our argument is that given $P_0 = (P_{0,v})_{v \in V_f}$, a collection of parahoric subgroups of $\mathcal{O}$ -maximal type, for every $v_0 \in V_f$ there exists another $\mathcal{O}$ -maximal collection $P = (P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ such that for $v \neq v_0$, $P_v = P_{0,v}$ and $P_{v_0} \not\cong P_{0,v_0}$. This is clearly true: for the groups of the absolute rank greater than one (which is our standing assumption) it is enough to consider the maximal types corresponding to single vertices of the affine Dynkin diagram and for such types $\mathcal{O}$ -maximality can be easily checked.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_S(G_S/\Lambda) &= \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2} (\mathcal{D}_l/\mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{\frac{1}{2}s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \tau_k(G) \mathcal{E}(P) \\ &= c_1 \prod_{v \in T} e(P_v)/e(P_{0,v}) \\ &\leq c_1 \prod_{v \in T} e(P_v), \quad c_1 = \mu_S(G_S/\Lambda_0), \end{aligned}$$

where P_v (resp. $P_{0,v}$) is the closure of Λ (resp. Λ_0) in $G(k_v)$, $v \in V_f$; T is a finite subset of the nonarchimedean places of k for which $P_v \not\cong P_{0,v}$; the constant c_1 depends on G/k and Λ_0 but does not depend on the choice of Λ .

If $\prod_{v \in T} e(P_v) < x/c_1$, then $\mu_S(G_S/\Lambda) < x$. There exists a constant δ determined by the absolute type of G such that for every $v \in V_f$ and every parahoric subgroup $P_v \subset G(k_v)$, $e(P_v) \leq q_v^\delta$ (e.g., take $\delta = \dim(G)$). This implies

$$\prod_{v \in T} e(P_v) \leq \prod_{v \in T} q_v^\delta.$$

Hence $\prod_{v \in T} q_v < (x/c_1)^{1/\delta}$ is sufficient for $\mu_S(G/\Lambda) < x$. The number of variants for such sets T is controlled via Proposition 3.2(i) (note that the field k is fixed). We obtain that for large enough x there are at least

$$c_2(x/c_1)^{1/\delta} \geq x^A$$

variants for T , where the constant $A > 0$ is determined by δ and thus depends only on the absolute type of G .

It remains to recall that for each T there exists a collection of parahoric subgroups $P = (P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ such that $P_v = P_{0,v}$ for $v \in V_f \setminus T$, $P_v \not\cong P_{0,v}$ for $v \in T$ and P has $\mathcal{O}$ -maximal type. Each such collection defines a maximal arithmetic subgroup of H of covolume less than x and subgroups corresponding to different T 's are not conjugate. The number of maximal arithmetic subgroups obtained this way is at least x^A with $A > 0$, a constant depending only on the absolute type of G . This proves the lower bound for $m_H^u(x)$.

5.2. Remark. Let us point out that all the maximal arithmetic subgroups constructed in 5.1 are commensurable. It is also possible to construct different commensurability classes which contain arithmetic subgroups of covolumes less than x . This may enlarge the constant A in the asymptotic inequality but, as it follows from the first part of the proof and the conjecture on the number of isomorphism classes of fields with discriminant less than x , would hardly change the type of the asymptotic.

5.3. Non-cocompact case. Let now Γ_1 be a non-uniform irreducible lattice in H which exists by the assumption of part B of the theorem, and let G be a corresponding algebraic k -group. Arithmetic subgroups of H which are induced from $G(k)$ will be all non-cocompact (they are all commensurable with Γ_1). To provide a lower bound for $m_H^{nu}(x)$ it remains to repeat the argument of 5.1 for the group G .

Note that in contrary to the cocompact case the existence of non-cocompact arithmetic subgroups in H is in general not guaranteed by the condition that H is isotypic (a counterexample was already mentioned in 2.3). Still the conditions under which such examples can be constructed are rather exceptional and in most of the cases isotypic groups contain both cocompact and non-cocompact arithmetic subgroups.

The theorem is now proven.

6. COROLLARIES, CONJECTURES, REMARKS

6.1. Corollary. *There exists a constant C_1 which depends only on the type of almost simple factors of H such that if Λ is a principal arithmetic subgroup of H and $\Gamma = N_H(\Lambda)$ has covolume less than x , then $[\Gamma : \Lambda] \leq x^{C_1}$.*

Proof. By [BP] (see Sect. 2.7 for the notations and precise references):

$$(1) \quad [\Gamma : \Lambda] \leq n^{\epsilon \# S} \cdot 2h_l^{\epsilon'} n^{\epsilon a(k) + \epsilon' a(l) + \epsilon \# T} (\mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]})^{\epsilon''} \cdot \prod_{v \in V_f} \# \Xi_{\Theta_v}.$$

Now, since $\mu(H/\Gamma) \leq x$, the group Γ has to satisfy the conditions on the subgroups of covolume less than x obtained in the proof of the upper bound of Theorem 1 (in Theorem 1 only maximal arithmetic subgroups are considered but the proof of the upper bound applies without a change to arbitrary principal arithmetic subgroups and their normalizers thus providing a somewhat stronger result to which we appeal here). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]} &= \mathcal{D}_{l/k} \leq x^{c_1}, \quad a(k) \leq c_2 \log x \quad (\text{Sect. 4.1}); \\ \#T &\leq \#T_1 \leq c_3 \log x \quad (\text{Sect. 4.3}); \\ \{v \in V_f, \# \Xi_{\Theta_v} \neq 1\} &\subset T_1 \cup R \cup T_2 \text{ as for the rest of } v, P_v \text{ is special, so} \\ \#\{v \in V_f, \# \Xi_{\Theta_v} \neq 1\} &\leq \#(T_1 \cup R \cup T_2) \leq c_4 \log x \quad (\text{Sect. 4.4}). \end{aligned}$$

Also recall that $\# \Xi_{\Theta_v} \leq r + 1$, r is the absolute rank of G ; $h_l \leq c^{[l:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{D}_l \leq x^{c_5}$ (see e.g. proof of Prop. 3.3) and $a(l) \leq 3a(k)$ (as $[l:k] \leq 3$). Altogether these imply the proposition. $\square$

6.2. For some particular cases the bound in Corollary 6.1 can be improved. Let us assume that the degrees of the fields of definition of the arithmetic subgroups are bounded:

$$(2) \quad [k : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d,$$

which is the case, for example, if we consider only non-uniform lattices in H .

Assumption (2) implies that the number m of different prime ideals $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_m$ of $\mathcal{O}_k$ such that $\text{Norm}(\mathcal{P}_1 \dots \mathcal{P}_m) \leq x$ is bounded by $c \log x / \log \log x$, $c = c(d)$ (instead of the bound $\log x$ which we used for the general case). Indeed, for $k = \mathbb{Q}$

it follows from the Prime Number Theorem, and the case of arbitrary k of bounded degree can be easily reduced to the rational case.

Therefore assumption (2) implies that most of the terms in (1) are $\leq c^{\log x / \log \log x}$ with $c = c(d)$. What remains is $\mathcal{D}_{l/k} = \mathcal{D}_l / \mathcal{D}_k^{[l:k]}$ (for type D_r , r even) and h_l (or h_k if $l = k$). The former, in fact, appears in the formula as an upper bound for $2^{\#R}$ (see [BP, Sect. 5.5]), which again can be improved to $c^{\log x / \log \log x}$ by the same argument. What remains is the class number.

Going back to [BP, Sect. 5 and Prop. 0.12], we see that what occurs in the formula is not h_l but the order of the group $C_n(l)$, which consists of the elements of the class group $C(l)$ whose orders divide n (as before n is a constant determined by the type of H). Instead of using the trivial bound $\#C_n(l) \leq h_l$, let us keep it as it is. We now come to the following formula:

$$(3) \quad [\Gamma : \Lambda] \leq c^{\log x / \log \log x} \#C_n(l), \quad x \geq \mu(H/\Gamma).$$

If $n = p$ is a prime, let $\rho_p(l)$ denote the p -rank of $C(l)$. Then, clearly, $\#C_p(l) \leq p^{\rho_p(l)}$, and in general for $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_m^{\alpha_m}$, $\#C_n(l) \leq p_1^{\alpha_1 \rho_{p_1}(l)} \dots p_m^{\alpha_m \rho_{p_m}(l)}$. So we are interested in the upper bounds for p -ranks of the class groups.

Apparently, even though this and related questions were much studied, there are very few results beyond the celebrated Gauss' theorem which can be applied in our case. We have:

- (i) if $[l : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$, then $\rho_2(l) \leq t_l - 1$ (by Gauss);
- (ii) if $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ and $[l : k] = 2$, then $\rho_2(l) \leq 2(t_l + t_k - 1)$ (by [Cor, Theorem 2]);

where t_k (resp. t_l) denotes the number of primes ramified in $k/\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. l/k).

From this we obtain

$$(4) \quad \#C_n(l) \leq n^{c \log x / \log \log x},$$

if n is a power of 2 and l is as in (i) or (ii).

Similar results for other n and other fields can be only conjectured: Even $\rho_3(k)$ for quadratic fields k seems to be out of reach with the currently available methods. Still estimates (3) and (4) imply the following:

6.3. Corollary. *Let H be a simple Lie group of type $A_{2\alpha-1}$ ($\alpha > 1$), B_r , C_r , D_r ($r \neq 4$), E_7 , E_8 , F_4 or G_2 . There exists a constant C_2 which depends only on the type of H such that if Λ is a non-cocompact principal arithmetic subgroup of H and $\Gamma = N_H(\Lambda)$ has covolume less than x , then $[\Gamma : \Lambda] \leq C_2^{\log x / \log \log x}$.*

Proof. Indeed, the assumption that H has one of the given types implies that n is a power of 2 (see the definition of n in Sect. 2.7). Since H is simple and the arithmetic subgroup is non-compact, its field of definition k is either $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, depending on H being real or complex Lie group (see also Sect. 4.2). Finally, the type of H is not D_4 implies $[l : k] \leq 2$. The corollary now follows from the discussion in 6.2. $\square$

We expect similar estimates to be valid for the non-uniform lattices in other groups but we do not know how to prove it.

6.4. Remark. Concerning the general case, let us point out that if the degrees of the fields are a priori not bounded then we can not expect a $\log x / \log \log x$ -bound for the p -rank of the class group. An example of a sequence of fields k_i for which $\rho_2(k_i)$ grows as $\log \mathcal{D}_{k_i}$ was constructed by F. Hajir (On the growth of p -class groups in p -class field towers, *J. Algebra* **188** (1997), 256–271), the fields k_i in Hajir's example form an infinite class field tower. This remark together with the previous estimates motivates the following question:

Is the estimate in Corollary 6.1 sharp, i.e. given a group H is there a constant $C_0 = C_0(H) > 0$ such that there exists an infinite sequence of pairwise non-conjugate principal arithmetic subgroups Λ_i in H for which $[\Gamma_i : \Lambda_i] \geq \mu(H/\Gamma_i)^{C_0}$, where $\Gamma_i = N_H(\Lambda_i)$ and μ is a Haar measure on H ?

Corollaries 6.1 and 6.3 are important for [BL] where we study the growth rate of the number of irreducible lattices in semi-simple Lie groups.

6.5. Remark. All along the line the groups of type A_1 were excluded. The reason for this is that we can hardly hope to use the formula from Sect. 2.8 combined with an analogue of Proposition 3.3 for this case even to prove a finiteness result. Still one can follow another method, also due to Borel, and use geometric bounds for the index of a principal arithmetic subgroup in a maximal arithmetic. This indeed allows to establish the finiteness of the number of arithmetic subgroups of bounded covolume in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})^a \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})^b$ [B]. Now the problem is that the quantitative bounds which can be obtained this way are only exponential. We suppose that the true bounds should be similar to the general case (and conjecturally polynomial), although we do not know how to prove this conjecture and leave it as an open problem:

Find the growth rate of the number of maximal arithmetic subgroups for the semi-simple Lie groups whose almost simple factors have type A_1 or obtain a better than exponential upper bound for the growth.

6.6. Two conjectures were mentioned in the introduction:

Conjecture 1. *There exists an absolute constant B such that for large enough x the number of isomorphism classes of number fields with discriminants less than x is at most x^B .*

Conjecture 2. *Given a connected semi-simple Lie group H without almost simple factors of type A_1 and compact factors, there exists a constant $B_H > 0$ which depends only on the type of almost simple factors of H such that for large enough x the number of conjugacy classes of maximal irreducible arithmetic subgroups of H of covolumes less than x is at most x^{B_H} .*

We now prove:

Proposition. *Conjectures 1 and 2 are equivalent.*

Proof. '1 $\rightarrow$ 2' follows directly from the proof of the upper bounds in Theorem 1. '2 $\rightarrow$ 1'. Assume that Conjecture 2 is true but Conjecture 1 is false, i.e. $m_H^u(x) + m_H^{nu}(x) \leq x^{B_H}$ for every $x > x_0$, and for an arbitrary C there exists $x > x_0$ such that $N(x) > x^C$. We shall need some additional assumption on x_0 which will become clear later but could be imposed from the beginning. So let us fix $C > 1$ and let $x > x_0$ be such that $N(x) > x^C$.

Let $N_{i,j}(x)$ denote the number of extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ of discriminant less than x which have precisely i real and j complex places. We have

$$N(x) = \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n \\ j=1,\dots,m}} N_{i,j}(x).$$

The condition that the discriminants of the fields are less than x implies by Minkowski's theorem that the degrees of the extensions are bounded by $c \log x$ for an absolute constant c , and so the number of summands is less than $(c \log x)^2$. By Dirichlet's box principle there exists a pair (i, j) such that $N_{i,j}(x) > x^C / (c \log x)^2 \geq x^{C-1}$ (this inequality requires $(c \log x)^2 \leq x$ which is true for large enough x and gives a first condition on x_0). Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of such number fields, $\#\mathcal{K} = N_{i,j}(x) > x^{C-1}$. Consider a simply connected semi-simple Lie group H which has i split real simple factors and j complex simple factors all of the same type. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, let G/k be a simply connected, absolutely simple split group of the same absolute type as the simple factors of H and defined over k . Let $P = (P_v)_{v \in V_f}$ be a coherent collection of parahoric subgroups of G all of which are hyperspecial (such a collection exists since G splits over k), and let Λ be the principal arithmetic subgroup of H defined by P . We have:

- a) for $S = V_\infty(k)$, $G_S \cong H$;
- b) $\mu(H/\Lambda) = \mathcal{D}_k^{\dim(G)/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{m_i!}{(2\pi)^{m_i+1}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{E}(P)$ by Prasad's formula.

Using the orders of finite groups of Lie type the Euler product $\mathcal{E}(P)$ can be expressed as a product of the Dedekind zeta function of k and certain Dirichlet L-functions at the integers $m_i + 1$, m_i are the Lie exponents of G (see [P, Rem. 3.11]). Obvious inequalities $L(s, \chi) \leq \zeta_k(s)$ and $\zeta_k(s) \leq \zeta(s)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$, for $s \geq 2$, imply that there exists a constant c_1 which depends only on the type of simple factors of H and such that each zeta or L-function in the product is bounded from above by $c_1^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$. Since $[k:\mathbb{Q}] \leq c \log x$, we have $\mathcal{E}(P) \leq (c_1^{c \log x})^r$. By definition of the set $\mathcal{K}$, $k \in \mathcal{K}$ implies $\mathcal{D}_k \leq x$. Therefore we obtain

$$\mu(H/\Lambda) \leq x^{\dim(G)/2} c_2^{c \log x} (c_1^{c \log x})^r \leq x^\delta,$$

where $\delta > 1$ and depends only on the type of simple factors of H . The latter inequality may require that x is larger than certain value which depends on the type of simple factors of H and gives us the second condition on x_0 . Clearly, both conditions do not depend on C and so could be imposed from the beginning.

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$ we have at least one maximal arithmetic subgroup of H of covolume less than x^δ . Now if we take $C = \delta B_H + 1$ we arrive to a contradiction with Conjecture 2 for H and $x^\delta > x_0$. $\square$

Let us remark that in the proof Conjecture 2 is used only for non-cocompact arithmetic subgroups of semi-simple groups H which have simple factors of a fixed type, it then implies Conjecture 1 which in turn implies Conjecture 2 in the whole generality. It is possible to specify further the relation between two conjectures but we shall not go into details. What we would like to emphasize is that our result provides a new geometric interpretation for a classical number theoretic problem. An optimistic expectation would be that study of the distributions of lattices in semi-simple Lie groups can give a new insight on the number fields and their discriminants.

APPENDIX

by J. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh

A.1. Let $N(X)$ denote the number of isomorphism classes of number fields with discriminant less than X .

Theorem. *For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C(\epsilon)$ such that $\log N(X) \leq C(\epsilon)(\log X)^{1+\epsilon}$, for every $X \geq 2$.*

In fact we prove the more precise upper bound that

$$\log N(X) \leq C_6 \log X \exp(C_7 \sqrt{\log \log X})$$

for absolute constants C_6, C_7 .

This theorem (almost) follows from [EV, Theorem 1.1], the only point being to control the dependence of implicit constants on the degree of the number field.

We refer to [EV] for further information and for some motivational comments about the method. In the proof $C_1, C_2, \dots$ will denote certain *absolute* constants.

A.2. Let K be an extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $d \geq 200$. Denote by $\Sigma(K)$ the set of embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$ ($\#\Sigma(K) = d$), and by $\overline{\Sigma}(K)$ a set of representatives for $\Sigma(K)$ modulo complex conjugation (in the notations of the paper $\overline{\Sigma}(K) = V_\infty(K)$). We regard the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ as a lattice in $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} K_\sigma$. We endow the real vector space $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ with the supremum norm, i.e. $\|(x_\sigma)\| = \sup_\sigma |x_\sigma|$. Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the standard absolute value on $\mathbb{C}$. In particular, we obtain a “norm” on $\mathcal{O}_K$ by restriction. Explicitly, for $z \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we have $\|z\| = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(K)} |\sigma(z)|$.

We denote by $M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $M_d(\mathbb{Q})$) the algebra of d by d matrices over $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}$).

By the “trace form” we mean the pairing $(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(xy)$. It is a symmetric nondegenerate $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear pairing on K^2 .

Let s be a positive integer which we shall specify later. We denote by $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s)$ an ordered s -tuple of elements of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and write $\|\mathbf{y}\| := \max(\|y_1\|, \dots, \|y_s\|)$. For $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_s) \in \mathcal{O}_K^s$ and $l \geq 1$, we shall set

$$S(l) = \{(k_1, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s : k_1 + \dots + k_s \leq l, k_1, \dots, k_s \geq 0\},$$

$$S(\mathbf{y}, l) = \{y_1^{k_1} y_2^{k_2} \dots y_s^{k_s} : (k_1, \dots, k_s) \in S(l)\} \subset \mathcal{O}_K.$$

If S is a subset of $S(l)$ we denote by $S(\mathbf{y})$ the set $\{y_1^{k_1} y_2^{k_2} \dots y_s^{k_s} : (k_1, \dots, k_s) \in S\}$.

A.3. **Lemma.** *Let S be a subset of $S(l)$ such that $S(\mathbf{y})$ spans a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace of K with dimension strictly greater than $d/2$. Let $S + S$ be the set of sums of two elements of S . Then $(S + S)(\mathbf{y})$ spans K over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. (cf. [EV, Lemma 2.1].) Suppose that there existed $z \in K$ which was perpendicular, w.r.t. the trace form, to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of $(S + S)(\mathbf{y})$. Since $(S + S)(\mathbf{y})$ consists precisely of all products $\alpha\beta$, with $\alpha, \beta \in S(\mathbf{y})$, it follows that

$$(5) \quad \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(z\alpha\beta) = 0, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in S(\mathbf{y})).$$

Call $W \subset K$ the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear span of $S(\mathbf{y})$. Then (5) implies that zW is perpendicular to W w.r.t. the trace form, contradicting $\dim(W) > d/2$. $\square$

A.4. Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a finite subset containing 1 and generating K as a field over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear basis for K . For each $u \in \mathcal{C}$, let $M(u) = (\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(uz_i z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \in M_d(\mathbb{Q})$. Then the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of $M_d(\mathbb{Q})$ generated by $M(u)M(1)^{-1}$, as u ranges over $\mathcal{C}$, is isomorphic to K .*

Proof. (cf. [EV, Lemma 2.2].) In fact, $M(u)M(1)^{-1}$ gives the matrix of “multiplication by u ,” in the basis $\{z_i\}$. $\square$

A.5. Lemma. *There is an absolute constant $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any K as above, there exists a basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d$ for $\mathcal{O}_K$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \|\gamma_j\| \leq \|\gamma_{j+1}\|, \quad \prod_{i=1}^d \|\gamma_i\| \leq \mathcal{D}_K^{1/2} C_1^d, \quad \|\gamma_i\| \leq (C_1^d \mathcal{D}_K^{1/2})^{\frac{1}{d-i}} \quad (i < d).$$

($\mathcal{D}_K$ denotes the absolute value of the discriminant of K .)

Proof. This is reduction theory (cf. [EV, Prop. 2.5]). The final statement of (6) follows from the preceding statements, in view of the fact that $\|\gamma_j\| \geq 1$ for each j . $\square$

A.6. Let r, l be integers such that $d/2 < r \leq |S(l)| = \binom{l+s}{s}$.

Lemma. *Suppose $W \subset K$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace of dimension r , and let $S \subset S(l)$ be a subset of size r . Then there exists $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s) \in W^s$ such that the elements of $S(\mathbf{y})$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent.*

Proof. This is precisely [EV, Lemma 2.3]. $\square$

A.7. Lemma. *Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_r$ and let $S \subset S(l)$ be a subset of size r . Then there is $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s) \in \Lambda^s$ such that the elements of $S(\mathbf{y})$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq r^2 l (C_1^d \mathcal{D}_K^{1/2})^{\frac{1}{d-r}}$.*

Proof. Considering Λ^s as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank rs , the proof of [EV, Lemma 2.3] shows that there is a polynomial F of degree at most rl in the rs variables so that the elements of $S(\mathbf{y})$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ whenever $F(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0$. Lemma 2.4 of [EV] then shows that we can choose such a $\mathbf{y}$ whose coefficients are at most $(1/2)(rl + 1) \leq rl$. It follows that

$$\|y_i\| \leq r^2 l (C_1^d \mathcal{D}_K^{1/2})^{\frac{1}{d-r}}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. $\square$

A.8. Lemma. *The number of number fields with degree $d \geq 200$ and discriminant of absolute value at most X is at most*

$$(C_3 d)^{d \exp(C_4 \sqrt{\log d})} X^{\exp(C_5 \sqrt{\log d})}.$$

Proof. Fix once and for all a total ordering of $S(2l)$. We denote the order relation as $(k_1, \dots, k_s) \prec (k'_1, \dots, k'_s)$. Choose $S \subset S(l)$ of cardinality r as above.

Let K have degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$ and satisfy $\mathcal{D}_K < X$. Chose $\mathbf{y}$ as in Lemma A.7. By Lemma A.3, $S(2l)(\mathbf{y})$ spans K over $\mathbb{Q}$. It follows that there exists a subset $\Pi \subset S(2l)$ of size d such that $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\} := \{y_1^{k_1} y_2^{k_2} \dots y_s^{k_s} : (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s) \in \Pi\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for K , and such that the ordering $z_1, \dots, z_d$ conforms with the specified ordering on $\Pi \subset S(2l)$.

We apply Lemma A.4 to $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = (1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s)$. Then each product $uz_i z_j$ ($u \in \mathcal{C}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d$) is contained in $S(4l+1)$.

Put $\mathbf{A} = (\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(y_1^{k_1} y_2^{k_2} \dots y_s^{k_s}))_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s) \in S(4l+1)}$. For each K , the collection of matrices $M(u)$ is determined by $\mathbf{A}$ and Π . Since $|\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(z)| \leq d\|\mathbf{y}\|^{4l+1}$ for any $z \in S(\mathbf{y}, 4l+1)$, the number of possibilities for $\mathbf{A}$ is at most $(d\|\mathbf{y}\|^{4l+1})^{|S(4l+1)|}$; since Π is a subset of $|S(2l)|$, the number of possibilities for Π is at most $2^{|S(2l)|}$.

Lemma A.4 now yields that the number of possibilities for the isomorphism class of K is at most $2^{|S(2l)|} (d\|\mathbf{y}\|^{4l+1})^{|S(4l+1)|}$. By our bound on $\|\mathbf{y}\|$ we now have that the number of possibilities for K is at most

$$(7) \quad 2^{|S(2l)|} (d(r^2 l (C_1^d \mathcal{D}_K^{1/2})^{\frac{1}{d-r}})^{4l+1})^{|S(4l+1)|}.$$

Note that $|S(4l+1)| = \binom{s+4l+1}{s}$.

Now, just as in the paragraph following (2.6) of [EV], we choose s to be the greatest integer less than $\sqrt{\log d}$ and l to be the least integer greater than $(ds!)^{1/s}$. Note that $l < \exp(C_2 \sqrt{\log d})$. Now $|S(l)| = \binom{s+l}{s}$ is at least d , so we may choose r between $d/2$ and $3d/4$. In particular, $r^2 l < d^3$. Also, $\binom{s+4l+1}{s}$ is at most $10^s d$ and $|S(2l)| = \binom{s+2l}{s} \leq 6^s d$. Finally, $s < 2\sqrt{\log d}$.

Substituting these values into (7) we get that the number of possible K is at most

$$2^{6^s d} (d(d^3 (C_1^d X^{1/2})^{4/d})^{5 \exp(C_2 \sqrt{\log d})})^{10^s d}$$

which is in turn at most

$$(C_3 d)^{d \exp(C_4 \sqrt{\log d})} X^{\exp(C_5 \sqrt{\log d})}.$$

□

A.9. Proposition. *There are absolute constants C_6, C_7 with*

$$\log N(X) \leq C_6 \log X \exp(C_7 \sqrt{\log \log X}).$$

Proof. By Minkowski's discriminant bound, there is an absolute constant $C_6 > 1$ such that $\mathcal{D}_K > C_6^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ for any extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$; so we may take d to be bounded by a constant multiple of $\log X$. From Lemma A.8 it now follows that the logarithm of the number of extensions $K/\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathcal{D}_K < X$ and $[K:\mathbb{Q}] \geq 200$ is bounded by $C_6 \log X \exp(C_7 \sqrt{\log \log X})$. Trivial bounds suffice to show that the number of K with $\mathcal{D}_K < X$ and $[K:\mathbb{Q}] < 200$ is $\leq C_8 X^{200}$. □

REFERENCES

- [BL] M. Belolipetsky, A. Lubotzky, Counting manifolds and class field towers, preprint.
- [B] A. Borel, Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, **8** (1981), 1–33.
- [BH] A. Borel, G. Harder, Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **298** (1978), 53–64.
- [BP] A. Borel, G. Prasad, Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-simple groups, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **69** (1989), 119–171; Addendum: *ibid.*, **71** (1990), 173–177.
- [BGLM] M. Burger, T. Gelander, A. Lubotzky, S. Mozes, Counting hyperbolic manifolds, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **12** (2002), 1161–1173.
- [Coh] H. Cohen, *Advanced topics in computational number theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., **193**, Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [Cor] G. Cornell, Relative genus theory and the class group of l -extensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **277** (1983), 421–429.
- [CR] V. I. Chernousov, A. A. Ryzhkov, On the classification of maximal arithmetic subgroups of simply connected groups, *Sb. Math.*, **188** (1997), 1385–1413.
- [EV] J. Ellenberg, A. Venkatesh, The number of extensions of a number field with fixed degree and bounded discriminant, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **163** (2006), 723–741.
- [GLP] D. Goldfeld, A. Lubotzky, L. Pyber, Counting congruence subgroups, *Acta Math.*, **193** (2004), 73–104.
- [Gr] B. H. Gross, On the motive of a reductive group, *Invent. Math.*, **130** (1997), 287–313.
- [Kn] M. Kneser, Galois-Kohomologie halbeinfacher algebraischer Gruppen über p -adischen Körpern, I, *Math. Z.*, **88** (1965), 40–47; II, *ibid.*, **89** (1965), 250–272.
- [Ku] E. Kushnirsky, On some Haar measures on reductive groups, *Amer. J. Math.*, **126** (2004), 649–670.
- [L] A. Lubotzky, Subgroup growth and congruence subgroups, *Invent. Math.*, **119** (1995), 267–295.
- [LN] A. Lubotzky, N. Nikolov, Subgroup growth of lattices in semisimple Lie groups, *Acta Math.*, **193** (2004), 105–139.
- [LS] A. Lubotzky, D. Segal, *Subgroup growth*, Progress in Math., **212**, Birkhäuser Verlag (2003).
- [M] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semi-simple Lie groups*, *Ergeb. der Math.*, **17**, Springer-Verlag (1989).
- [Od] A. M. Odlyzko, Lower bounds for discriminants of number fields, *Acta Arith.*, **29** (1976), 275–297.
- [Oe] J. Oesterlé, Nombres de Tamagawa et groupes unipotents en caractéristique p , *Invent. Math.*, **78** (1984), 13–88.
- [On] T. Ono, On Tamagawa numbers. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **9** (1966), 122–132.
- [PR] V. P. Platonov, A. S. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press (1994).
- [P] G. Prasad, Volumes of S -arithmetic quotients of semi-simple groups, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **69** (1989), 91–117.
- [R] J. Rohlfs, Die maximalen arithmetisch definierten Untergruppen zerfallender einfacher Gruppen, *Math. Ann.*, **244** (1979), 219–231.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, Quelques applications du theoreme de densite de Chebotarev. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **54** (1981), 323–401.
- [T] J. Tits, Reductive groups over local fields, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **33** (1979), Part I, 29–69.
- [W] H. C. Wang, Topics on totally discontinuous groups, in *Symmetric spaces*, (ed. by W. M. Boothby and G. Weiss), Marcel Dekker (1972), 459–487.

M. BELOLIPETSKY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, DURHAM DH1 3LE, U.K.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, KOPTYUGA 4, 630090 NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

E-mail address: mbel@math.nsc.ru

J. ELLENBERG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `ellenber@math.princeton.edu`

A. VENKATESH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `akshayv@math.mit.edu`